# Comp Plan Committee 8/3/05

Jim Shinaver presented aerial and discussed background.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} K-80 \ AC & SFA \\ L-80 \ AC & SFA \end{array}$ 

M-40~AC Condo

N – 15 AC Religious

Want 25 AC

Default – SF2

Consistent with density of Andover?

PUB includes amenities

Trails, use of Andover Club/pool, park, open space

Arch. Requirements in PUD

Tc stds –	width D ? % FSB/RSB S	80 130 10,400 35% 25' 5'	35' H' 12' se		L-W D % FSB/R	60 120 7,200 40% 8SB	25'
SF Att	35% 20' F/	R	side Sep HT	7.5 15 35'			

SF2 Min 15K

8% open space

PUD w/tweaked stds = additional open space

Density 10,400 All lots ad?? 16 ac/lots?

McFall – church – lighting?

JS – None

Moran – church – school

SP - Sunday School

# Al will dispense to PL if info received

#### Committee issues:

## Jim Peyton:

- Density; No SF-2, Power line, 20% = 266, propose 288
- 25 ac for church PUD still says 15
- Buffering? Increase for existing homes?
- Open space % of total?
- Road improvements?
- Arch Details expand. More options instead of two
- Comp Plan vision vs. existing zoning larger lots? Transition
- Attached units? Quad? Double
- ? 6 u/bldg. possible
- Two stories possible
- Increase/decrease 15%
- · Concerned about balance
- Concerned with ability to deviate, period.
- Lot sizes % of lots larger, % smaller?
- Church PUD for accommodating unusual use/problems, mixes. Can do it without PUD.
  - --How is church tied to development?
  - -- Amenities separate form church?
  - --Covenants
  - --Parking lots vs. green space calcs?

### Gloria DelGreco:

- Church play area separate form amenity area?
- Large homes against small homes transition
- Isn't familiar with Andover will have more comments later.

## Ron Thomas:

- SF2 Nothing unique; why PUD at all?
- Attached Showed underly SFA
- Good starting point
- Church doesn't see function
- Shouldn't factor in to U/A
- Doesn't see it as part of PUD
- Not consistent with SF2, closer to SF3.
- Building materials not consistent?
- Sycamore materials Base materials on that
- 50% front Façade not enough

- Sycamore 100% front, rest hardiplank
- Power line easement no lot lines
- Darin no lot line
- Wetlands? Ask DNR
- Fiscal Impact statement
- Price points very wide range?
- Amenities wait build whole list narrow down to what will be built separate church and private amenities
- Att density -4 u/a +/-

# Bob Curry:

- List of issues from PUD
- C, #2 single owner control?
- Surveyor severe water problem
- Andover South
- · Pix of flood
- Problem worse to North (Andover N)
- Drain, wetlands
- Church G-7 AC run-off (bldg. lot)
- Downstream problems
- Don't include church property
- Doesn't meet intent of PUD
- Not appropriate use, lack character
- Harmonies?
- Reversing <u>Transition</u> of housing types and values
- Traffic concerns
- No preservation of features
- Increase density by using church
- Buildable lots in each section
- Wants accurate calculation based on built area vs. number of units

## Robert Moran:

- Handouts
- Circumventing ord. w/PUD
- Buffer
- Buildable acres? Not 2.88? or 288
- Pix of surrounding properties
- Transitional
- 1 DU/AC
- "Fugitives from Fishers"
- Hasn't met PUD filing requirements DWG w/easements
- Inconsistent with Comp Plan

- "Don't sell to lowest bidder"
- Consistent with surroundings

## Dave McFall:

- Second time
- Board ??? for
- 250 book existing, large lots
- 150-330 ?, 60 x 120 proposed
- Home type and density likely to reduce value
- Wants consistency
- Add value
- Where is green space?
- Can't build on power lines ???
- Master plan should be better than

## Ron Morris:

- Reasonable dimensions from Stoeppleworth
- Buildable acre
  - --ROW NS, 186, Moontown
  - --Transmission easement
  - =8.867 AC
  - -25 ac of church
  - =88+/-AC, 3.8 units
- 2003 Andover minutes similar
- 186<sup>th</sup> should be cut off for density
- Church entrance Shady Nook

Luann Greene: 19024 SN Rd. Agrees with others, traffic

Arthur Heaser – moving to this area form city; doesn't want dense development

Mary Featheringill – moved from Fishers – 30' separation, 3-400K

McFall – Sewer? Pump station?

#### Ron Thomas:

- Yield plan SF-2 vs. ord. How would it look?
- Update of attached units before 17<sup>th</sup>.
- Concerned with influx of attached.
- Copy of SFA